



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,237	10/032,237 12/21/2001		Yoshizo Honda	10830-084001	6666
26211	7590	07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI		SON P.C. ER 52ND FLOOR	CATHEY II, PATRICK H		
153 EAST 53RD STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10022-4611				2613	
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/032,237	HONDA, YOSHIZO					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Patrick H. Cathey II	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a							
Period for Reply		,					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jar</u>	nuary 27 th , 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 6-15 and 20-27 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
•							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 27th, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Iwakiri et al. do in fact teach decoding techniques for use in mobile radio communication systems such as cellular telephones. These can relate to types of communication systems such as image code communications. The language in the claims does not specifically state excluding communication systems such as cellular telephones.

Iwakiri et al. teach a method for decoding coded data (Column 4, lines 40-46). MPEG is the name of a family of standards used for coding audio-visual information in a digitally compressed format (www.mpeg.org). Since Iwakiri et al. teach the method of decoding coded data and MPEG is one standard for coding and decoding data, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use a standard such as MPEG in order to decode the intended data.

lwakiri et al. teach counting an amount of dynamic image codes received and correcting, or normalizing, the amount of the counted dynamic image code according to the counted defective amount (Column 8, lines 8-23; Column 5, lines 49-67; Column 7, lines 15-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2613

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim's 1-5 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lwakiri et al. (US 5,509,020) in view of Isu et al. (US 6,697,433).

As for Claim's 1 and 16, Iwakiri et al. teaches communication on the basis of the CDMA system (Column 4, lines 44-46). This communication is continuously transmitted during the frame period of 20 ms of one frame at the known fixed rate of 9600 bps (Column 4, lines 60-62). This data is sent to the decoder unit (Column 5, lines 26-31; See also Figure 1). Iwakiri et al. teaches an error rate calculation unit that counts the number of errors (Column 5, lines 49-67). A path metric amount memory unit is described that memorizes path metric amounts of the known fixed data rate of the sync channel (Column 6, lines 3-7). A data rate decision unit describes the data rate having the largest possibility of being transmitted from all the data rates of the traffic channels. The decoded data memory unit then outputs the decoded data (Column 6, lines 13-20; See also Figure 1).

Although Iwakiri et al. fails to teach that the input data is in the form of MPEG encoded data, Isu et al. does (Column 6, lines 55-67). Since various forms of input encoded data could have been transmitted to the decoding method of this application, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use MPEG data as opposed to CDMA data for the input data transmitted to the decoder.

As for Claim 2, Iwakiri et al. teach where the defective amount of the dynamic image codes results from deterioration in transmission quality during transmissions (Column 27, line 64 to Column 28, line 12; Column 1, lines 43-59; Column 6, lines 35-50).

Many of the limitations for the following claims have been address in the above rejections. As for Claim's 3-5 and 17-19, Iwakiri et al. teaches the product of the bit size, numbers of frames and the different quantized levels (Column 5, lines 4-19; See also Figure 2). The number of frames can be calculated from the time it takes divided by the length of the frame, which is 20 ms. Various bit sizes are also shown in this example.

Although Iwakiri et al. fails to specifically teach that these products are weighted, weighing these products would only add another variable to the product that was already used. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to weigh this product in order to get the intended different output of data.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim's 6-15 and 20-27 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Many of the limitations of Claim's 6 and 20 are not addressed in the references cited. Claim's 6 and 20 show new subject matter that is not obvious. Claim's 7-15 and 21-27 are allowable dependent claims of the independent claim's 6 and 20 respectively.

Art Unit: 2613

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick H. Cathey II whose telephone number is (571)272-7326. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 to 5:00 (Every other friday off).

Application/Control Number: 10/032,237

Art Unit: 2613

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571)272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick H. Cathey II Examiner Art Unit 2613

PHC

MEHRDAD DASTOURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2600

Methodad Dastowni